THE IMPORTANCE OF COSMIC OCCULTATION DATA FOR IONOSPHERE SPECIFICATION

M. V. Codrescu - NOAA, Space Environment Center, Boulder, Colorado (Mihail.Codrescu@noaa.gov), C. F. Minter - NOAA, Space Environment Center, and CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado (Cliff.Minter@noaa.gov), A. Anghel - NOAA, Space Environment Center and CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado (Adela.Anghel@noaa.gov), E. A. Araujo, NOAA, Space Environment Center and CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado (Eduardo.Araujo@noaa.gov),

T.J. Fuller-Rowell NOAA, Space Environment Center and CIRES, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado (Tim.Fuller-Rowell@noaa.gov) and P. Spencer - University of Bath, Bath, UK (p.spencer@bath.ac.uk)

ABSTRACT



Motivation

OTH uses reflections off the ionosphere to image winds and other targets over the horizon (Figure 1). The uncertainty in the position of observed features depends critically on the knowledge of ionosphere structure. Ionosphere gradients determine the inclination of the reflection surface while small scale structure affect the sharpness of the image. Real-time estimation of the ionosphere is therefore essential for precise coordinate registration for OTH radar applications (Figures 2 and 3).

The ionosphere is very dynamic, changing on time scales of minutes, and there are no models, empirical or physics based, capable of providing the ionosphere structure with the required accuracy. Combining a model with measurements in a Data Assimilation scheme has become the method of choice of the ionosphere specification and forecast operational Real-time US-Total Electron Content: Vertical and Slan



Figure 4 is generated by a data assimilation model driven by CORS ground-based GPS data, and is the result of a co

Tremendous progress has been made in specifying TEC from ground based dual frequency GPS receiver data. One such scheme is being transition into operations at the Space Environment Center in Boulder, Colorado. Significant validation and verification studies have shown that TEC can be specified with + - 2 TECU uncertainty (generally less than 5% of the value). most of the time over the US. However, the height profile of electron density cannot be specified with similar accuracy because of the lack of vertical distribution information in ground-based GPS data.

US-TEC Validation

Relative TEC





More validation information at:http://www.sec.noaa.gov/ustec/docs/USTEC_ValidationDocument.pd









Figure 8







CONCLUSIONS

· The electron density height profile cannot be accurately specified from groundbased GPS data alone.

 COSMIC GPS occultation data can provide the height distribution information needed to specify Ne.

· A Kalman Filter optimized for TEC specification does not provide the best solution for Ne and vice-versa.

 From this study we cannot tell how many LEOs are needed to specify Ne globally but plan to address the question next.

EOFs for Kalman Filter estimation



Electron Density Profile EOFs



Figure12