Potential Laramide/Oceanic Plateau Topics

1. The track of the oceanic plateau ("Shatsky Rise Conjugate") is sufficiently well established that meaningful tests can be made. [Basically, if we don't know where it was and instead are using the geologic changes in North America to plot its course, those geologic features cease to be useful as a test of the hypothesis; we then have to distinguish the features used to set the track from whatever is left that might test the idea].

2. Subduction of an oceanic plateau will cause surface uplift in the continent. [This is a subset of the models out there] - Elize, (Shunjie)

3. Subduction of an oceanic plateau will lead to subsidence in the continental interior [Also a subset of models]-**Shunjie**

4. Subduction of an oceanic plateau will usher in flat slab subduction

5. Subduction of the Shatsky Conjugate produced the Rand+/-Orocopia+/-Pelona schists in the Mojave Desert

6. Timing of vertical changes in surface elevation (see points 2 and 3) is in agreement with geological proxies such as changes in fluvial systems, major unconformities, etc.-Vanessa

7. The stress field induced by the Shatsky Conjugate is consistent with coeval deformation in North America-**Stephen**

8. Subduction of the Shatsky Conjugate has left fragments in coastal accretionary complexes

9. Magmatism patterns are consistent with the history of subduction of the Shatsky Conjugate.-Keely, (Stephen), Tyler

10. Timing of deformation in the Laramide is consistent with the passage of the Shatsky Conjugate.







FIG. 7 Stratigraphic cross-section of Cretaceous rocks from central Utah to northeastern Colorado. Thicknesses are based on well and outcrop control. Vertical exaggeration approximately ×151. The Castlegate Sandstone has been interpreted as a product of "antitectonic" sedimentation (Yoshida et al., 1996). Colors were utilized in the paleogeographic maps. Abbreviations: Ksx, Sixmile Canyon Formation; Kfv, Funk Valley Formation; Kav, Allen Valley Formation; Ksp, Sanpete Formation; Kr, Rollins Sandstone Member; Kcz, Cozzette Sandstone Member; Kco, Corcoran Sandstone Member. (From Molenaar and Rice (1988).)



Explain what the colors are (greens are areas accumulating coals, bricks are carbonates). One initial question is, why a seaway? Classically, this was thought to largely be high stand of ocean





Seems like an orderly progradation of terrestrial facies out into the seaway...but look at sediment accumulation...





We need something to pull crust down at least in some areas. What exactly can do this-what is "dynamic subsidence"

Viscous fluids

In a Newtonian fluid, if horizontal velocity is u and vertical is v, then the shear stress in the fluid is related to the gradient in velocity:

$$\tau_{zx} = \eta \frac{du}{dz}$$

Applying continuity (conservation of fluid) and assuming equilibrium, can be shown that the dynamic pressure P is related to variations in fluid velocity u and v (horizontal and vertical):

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \eta \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \rho g + \eta \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right)$$



Math from Turcotte and Schubert section 6.11. Torque is force x distance, so torque from tip of asthenospheric counterflow is constant downslab



OK, shows pressure on top ("arc corner") gets very negative [as this happens, presumably load on base of lithosphere above also become very negative--i.e., pulls down]--bottom side not so strong.



Combining pressure from last slide with weight of slab and then calculating as a torque gives us this--the idea that there is a point where dip is unstable. However, this analysis ignores any deformation within the slab. Although this analysis is basis for Bird's inferences about subsidence, it is not the model preferred by most other mantle-flow modelers.



Similarly, we can estimate the force on the upper plate. Math from Turcotte and Schubert section 6.11. So subsidence should vary inversely to distance from the subduction zone. A major complication is that variations in rheology will allow for this to vary a lot.



Prediction from this model is that if we remove post mid-K seds, things return to flat. Is this true? (certainly not in NE NM, maybe in some places to north).





Mitrovica et al., Tectonics, 1989





Pang and Nummedal inferred dynamic subsidence starting c 84 Ma and large by 79 Ma; could also be change in flexural rigidity?



Location of the hingeline between the foredeep and the forebulge during the Campanian-Paleocene. The arcuate trend of the hingeline indicates the locus of greatest flexural load in the orogen—at the center of the arc. Abbreviations: C, M, P, Campanian, Maastrichtian, Paleocene; e, E, Early; l, L, Late. The location of maximum loading shifted progressively northward during the Late Cretaceous-Paleocene (Catuneanu et al., 1999, 2000).



Lower right figure shows reciprocal stratigraphy with biozones and presence of airfall ashes from Alberta.

Left is map of hinges inferred by reciprocal strat, right is suggested forebulge position from Liu et al. EC=Early Campanian c. 80 Ma, MC c. 77 Ma, IC c. 73 Ma, EEM = early Early Maastrichtian, c. 71-72 Ma, LEM late Early Maas. c. 70 Ma, LM c. 67-68 Ma, EP = early Paleocene c. 63 Ma

So you could expect radially symmetric folding or faulting But what if there is a regional stress field?

Exact degree of bending of stresses depends on the ratio of the load to the regional stress field.

