

NCENTRATION (Pt/cc)

A Novel Technique to Measure Ultrafine Particle Size Distributions for Environmental Science Applications

Carlos Gallar^{1,2}, Charles A. Brock^{1,2}, Craig Simons^{1,2}, and Jose-Luis Jiménez^{2,3}

¹Aeronomy Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO ²Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO ³Department of Chemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO





CONCLUSIONS

Performance of the SCoPS Technique

•The proof-of-concept was successfully demonstrated The diameter of nucleation (Kelvin diameter) can be controlled over a range from 5 to 30 nm with the urrent configuration (flows, temperatures, fluid properties) The time response of the system is ~1 second to changes in flows, meaning that scans of particle size distributions over this range can be accomplished in ~30 s with good counting statistics •The inversion method can successfully recover the diameter and concentration of nearly monodisperse calibration particles as well as polydisperse aerosols An unexplained shift in performance occurred near the end of the project, necessitating a complete recalibration of the response functions. Flow controller calibration change? The size resolution and size range is less than that of the competing SMPS technology •Detection efficiencies for particles <10 nm were reduced by diffusional losses. All efficiencies <100% (?) Needs for Further Development •Extend diameter range by testing different flows, temperatures, and fluids Increase sample flowrate to reduce time lag and sample smearing •Reduce particle losses to diffusion by changing sample flow geometry and flowrate Improve and automate software, improve system packaging

Improve accuracy and robustness of response curves

Shorten stepping time and/or develop true scanning mode algorithm for size distribution inversion

Support through the CIRES Innovative Research Program and through additional supplementary CIRES funding is gratefully acknowledged.

called the scanning condensation particle sizer (SCoPS)



Figure. 7. A) Particle concentrations measured by the SCoPS (symbols) for each step in saturation ratio (Fig. 6) as a function of the threshold Kelvin diameter associated with that saturation ratio (Fig. 5) for a calibration aerosol with a peak diameter of 14.5 nm and a dispersion of ±10%. The curve is the calculated response of the SCoPS instrument to the recovered size distribution shown in red in (B). B) Particle number size distribution measured by the SMPS (blue bars) and by the SCoPS (red bars). The area under the curve is the total particle concentration. The resolution of the SCoPS is poorer than the SMPS, but the peak diameter and integrated particle number are more accurate for this case. The SCoPS scan time was set to match that of the SMPS (~120 s), but could be reduced by a factor of 3 with little performance loss. The SMPS accuracy is limited by the poor counting statistics of the instrument, which measures only the charged fraction of the calibration aerosol (<5% of the total).



Figure. 8. Particle number size distribution measured by the SMPS (blue bars) and by the SCoPS (red bars) for a calibration aerosol with a peak diameter of 29.5 nm and a dispersion of $\pm 10\%$. The area under the curve is the total particle concentration. The resolution and accuracy of the SCoPS is poorer than the SMPS for this size, which is near the upper limit of sizing capability for the SCoPS under the flow and temperature conditions studied.





PARTICLE DIAMETER (nm)

Figure. 9. Particle number size distribution measured by the SMPS (blue bars) and by the SCoPS (red bars) for a calibration aerosol with a peak diameter of 6.7 nm and a dispersion of at least ±10%. The area under the curve is the total particle concentration. The resolution of the SCoPS is similar to the SMPS for this size, and the sizing accuracy is slightly better. This size is near the lower limit of sizing capability for both the SMPS and SCoPS under the flow and temperature conditions studied.

PERFORMANCE: COMPARISON WITH SMPS